

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF GAGE COUNTY, NEBRASKA

_____,
_____,
Petitioner,
_____,
Additional Petitioner/Minor Child(ren),
_____,
Additional Petitioner/Minor Child(ren),
vs.
_____,
Respondent.

Case No. CI23-_____

**ORDER DISMISSING EX
PARTE DOMESTIC ABUSE
PROTECTION ORDER
(After Hearing, Ex Parte
Order Issued)**

RENEWAL

THIS MATTER came before the court, pursuant to Neb. Rev. Stat. §§ , 42-924, and 42-925, upon the petition. The petitioner(s) (was/were) (was/were not) present in court (with counsel, _____). The respondent (did) (did not) appear (with counsel, Abbie Steiner _____).

The petitioner(s) did not appear and no evidence was adduced, and the requested relief should be denied. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Ex Parte Domestic Abuse Protection Order or the Ex Parte Renewal of a Domestic Abuse Protection Order, issued on _____, shall not be affirmed and is therefore dismissed.

OR

The petitioner(s) appeared but did not carry his/her/their burden to establish, by a preponderance of the evidence, the truth of the facts alleged in the Petition and Affidavit to Obtain or Renew Domestic Abuse Protection Order and the requested relief should be denied. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Ex Parte Domestic Abuse Protection Order or the Ex Parte Renewal of a Domestic Abuse Protection Order issued on March 31, 2023, shall not be affirmed and is therefore dismissed with prejudice.

OR

The respondent has shown cause why the requested relief granted in the Ex Parte Domestic Abuse Protection Order or the Ex Parte Renewal of a Protection Order should be denied and dismissed. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Ex Parte Domestic Abuse Protection Order or the Ex Parte Renewal of a Protection Order, issued on _____, shall not be affirmed and is therefore dismissed with prejudice.

The dismissal of an Ex Parte Renewal of a Domestic Abuse Protection Order does NOT dismiss an original or otherwise modified Domestic Abuse Protection Order that has not yet expired.

The Court has made specific findings as set forth below.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all costs of filing and service in this case are (taxed to the petitioner(s) as the court finds, by clear and convincing evidence, that the statements contained in the petition were false and the protection order was sought in bad faith) (taxed to the respondent) (waived).

Dated and Entered on: April 21, 2023


Judge 